

Some people think that governments must insist on preserving traditional appearance of old buildings undergoing renovation or redevelopment. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the statement?

There are a lot of people who are of the opinion that governments are responsible ~~for taking to take~~ care of historical buildings which are disappearing with/by the passage of time into new malls or residential complexes while others are completely against this. In this essay, I elaborate on this subject, and try to express my personal stance accordingly.

I personally believe that the historic view of old buildings is a symbol of culture and architecture ~~that~~ existed in the past in that town. For example, Casablanca in Morocco is famous for violet houses with red ceilings, Thessaloniki in Greece is well-known for white-clay houses or people around ~~who~~ come to Masuleh, a small village located in ~~the~~ north of Iran, to visit old buildings with special architecture so people and governments are responsible ~~for taking to take~~ care of the appearance of traditional buildings or they will lose this ~~tourist tourism~~ attraction.

On the other hand, maintenance of such old buildings is a costly project and that is why some owners cannot really care about preserving ~~certain~~ aspects of their buildings, and on the other hand, governments cannot afford to take care of all buildings. Here again I want to conclude that governments alone cannot do this job ~~alone~~.

~~In from~~ my viewpoint, it is not a good idea to build modern shopping centers in historic towns. In fact such a modern tower can damage the appearance of a historic city dramatically.

Based on what I explained above, I persist ~~in on~~ the idea that people and governments together are both responsible to preserve the historic appearance of the city, and it is to the benefit of both sides to support each other.